

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

P

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,134	10/522,134 08/29/2005		Steven Jones	85084-402	3937	
Ade & Compai	7590 nv	05/11/2007		EXAMINER		
1700-360 Main Street				HURT, SHARON L		
Winnipeg Manitoba, R3C	C 3Z3			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA	·			1648		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•			05/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/522,134	JONES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
,	Sharon Hurt	1648
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pre	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5,13-15,17,19-23,25 and 27-31 is. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3, 5, 13-15, 17, 19-23, 25 and 27-37 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.  1 is/are rejected.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed and are all accomposed and accomposed and are all accomposed and are all accomposed and accomposed and are all accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed and accomposed	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No· red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/522,134

Art Unit: 1648

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

The amendments to the claims filed March 13, 2007 has been entered. Claims 1-3, 5, 13-15, 17, 21-23 and 25 are currently amended. Claims 29-31 are newly added claims.

## Status of the Claims

Claims 1-3, 5, 13-15, 17, 19-23, 25 and 27-31 are pending and under examination.

### Response to Arguments

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schnell et al. is withdrawn pursuant applicant's amendments.

### Rejections Maintained

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The rejection of claim 1, 5, 13, 17, 19-21, 25 and 27-28 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kahn et al. is maintained. Applicant's arguments filed March 13, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant believes that the amendments to the claims have overcome the prior art rejection. Applicant argues "Khan teaches that the surface of

Page 3

the particles must be a mixture of native VSV G and RSV G and F and that if VSV G is not provided, the particle will be non-infective". Kahn teaches nonpropagating VSV's expressing RSV glycoproteins and lack the VSV G gene (page 11080, 1st column, 2nd paragraph). Kahn also teaches that in the resulting VSVΔG plasmids, the RSV glycoprotein genes replace the VSV G gene (page 11080, 1st column, 4th paragraph). Kahn teaches the VSV G gene was deleted from the full-length cDNA VSV genomic plasmids containing the RSV G or RSV F gene such that the RSV genes replaced the VSV G in the viral genome (page 11081, 2<sup>nd</sup> column, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph). Examiner disagrees that Kahn teaches that it must be a mixture of VSV G and RSV G at the surface of the particle because Kahn teaches the recombinant VSV expressing RSV G or RSV F displayed the RSV glycoproteins on their surface (page 11081, 1<sup>st</sup> column, last paragraph). Applicant also argues that "the application as filed, the particle must be propagating in order to generate a protective immune response". In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., propagating virus) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,134

Art Unit: 1648

The rejection of claims 1-3, 5, 13-15, 17, 19-23, and 25-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kahn et al. as applied to claims 1, 5, 13, 17, 19-21, 25 and 27-28 above, and further in view of Takada et al. is maintained for claims 1-3, 5, 13-15, 17, 19-23, 25 and 27-28 and new claims 29-31. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant believes that the instant invention has been distinguished from Khan in view of the amendments to the claims. The arguments over Kahn have been discussed supra. Applicant argues that Takada "teaches a viral genome wherein the VSV G protein has been substituted with green fluorescent protein and wherein Ebola G protein has been provided in trans. Applicant also argues "while the resulting particle is infective once, it would not be propagating as there is no functional G protein as the viral genome contains green fluorescent protein". In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., infective and propagating) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/522,134 Page 5

Art Unit: 1648

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sharon Hurt whose telephone number is 571-272-3334. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sharon Hurt May 1, 2007

> BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

· Brune Campell